

BROWN, VENCE & ASSOCIATES



Review of Animal Waste Management Regulations

Task 2 Report: Evaluate Title 27 Effectiveness
to Protect Groundwater Quality

San Jose State University Foundation

Final Report | October 2003



This document was prepared through an agreement with the San Jose State University Foundation (Account Number 22-1509-3083) under the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Standard Agreement Number 01-281-150-0. Funds used relating to the preparation of this report were \$41,874.20.

Statement of Preparation

This final *Task Two Report: Title 27 Effectiveness to Protect Groundwater Quality* was prepared by Brown, Vence & Associates (BVA) for the San Jose State University Foundation under Contract Account No. 22-1509-3083 under the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Standard Agreement Number 01-281-150-0. Principal authors of this study were:

Representing BVA:


Tim Raibley, PE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tim Raibley", written over a horizontal line.

PE 35322

Representing RMC Geoscience:

Richard A. Mitchell, CEG

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. A. Mitchell", written over a horizontal line.

CEG 1371

Final Report | October 2003



Review of Animal Waste Management Regulations

Task 2 Report: Evaluate Title 27 Effectiveness to Protect Groundwater Quality

San Jose State University Foundation

Presented to | San Jose State University Foundation
P.O. Box 720130
San Jose, CA 95172-0130

Presented by | Brown, Vence & Associates
198 Cirby Way, Suite 170
Roseville, CA 95678

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Section 1 Introduction	5
1.1 Purpose and Scope of Report	6
1.1.1 Purpose	6
1.1.2 Approach and Scope of Work	6
Section 2 Existing California Regulations	9
2.1 Regulatory Authority	9
2.2 Basin Plan and the State’s Antidegradation Policy	10
2.3 CCR Title 27 Minimum Standards	10
Section 3 Overview of Confined Animal Facilities	13
3.1 Confined Animal Manure Management and Waste Handling Processes...	13
3.1.1 Beef Feedlots	13
3.1.2 Dairies	13
3.1.3 Swine, Poultry, and Veal Calf Facilities	14
3.2 Typical Confined Animal Waste Management System Components	15
3.2.1 Water Conveyance Systems	15
3.2.2 Dry Waste Management System	15
3.2.3 Retention Ponds	16
3.3 Confined Animal Facility Pollutant Source Areas	16
3.4 Animal Waste Constituents with the Potential to Pollute Groundwater	19
3.4.1 General	19
3.4.2 Nitrogen	19
3.4.3 Phosphorus	20
3.4.4 Total Dissolved Solids (TDS or Salts)	21
3.4.5 Pathogens	22
3.4.6 Antibiotics	23
3.4.7 Pesticides and Hormones	23
Section 4 Assessment of Title 27 Requirements	25

Table of Contents

4.1 Title 27 Groundwater Protection Requirements	25
4.1.1 Management of Manured Areas	25
4.1.2 Design Requirements	25
4.2 Consideration of Site-Specific Conditions	28
4.2.1 Geologic and Seismic Setting	28
4.2.2 Hydrogeologic Conditions	29
4.2.3 Significance of Subsurface Conditions with Respect to Title 27 and Groundwater Quality Protection	29
4.3 Comparison of Title 27 Confined Animal Facility Requirements with Other Waste Management Facilities Regulated by Title 27	30
Section 5 Groundwater Quality Below Confined Animal Facilities	39
5.1 Groundwater Quality Data from Central Valley Confined Animal Facilities	39
5.1.1 CVRWQCB Confined Animal Facility Database.....	39
5.1.2 Harter et al. Evaluation of Shallow Groundwater Quality on Dairy Farms with Irrigated Forage Crops	42
5.1.3 Los Banos Area Groundwater Study.....	43
5.1.4 Hilmar Study.....	44
5.1.5 Gil-Tex Dairy	45
5.1.6 Vaz Dairy.....	45
5.1.7 Rick Jones Dairy	46
5.2 Groundwater Quality Information from Published Studies	46
5.2.1 Nitrogen Load of Soil and Groundwater from Land Disposal of Dairy Manure	46
5.2.2 Effects of Liquid Manure Storage Systems on Groundwater Quality Summary Report	47
5.2.3 Dairy Facility Contributions to Groundwater Contamination.....	48
5.2.4 Measurement of Seepage from Earthen Waste Storage Structures	48
5.2.5 Evaluation of Impacts of Animal Waste Lagoons on Groundwater Quality	49
Section 6 Conclusions and Recommendations.....	51

Table of Contents

6.1 Conclusions.....	51
6.2 Data Limitations and Recommendations for Further Research	53
References.....	55

(Appendices bound separately. The content of each appendix is listed below.)

Appendices

Appendix A | Confined Animal Facility Regulations

Excerpt of Confined Animal Regulations from Title 27

Class II and Class III California Sanitary Landfills and Animal Confinement
Facility Regulations

Subchapter 15 Statement of Reasons Preamble

Appendix B | Wastewater Characterization and Manure Characteristics for Confined Animal Facilities

Appendix C | Dairy Monitoring Well Data

Appendix D | Gil-TeX Dairy Groundwater Monitoring Data and Correspondence

Monitoring and Reporting Program at Gilbert Teixeira Dairy, *May 26, 1991*

Groundwater Sampling, Gilbert Teixeira Dairy, *September 22, 1994*

Dairy Wastewater Discharge Complaint, *April 3, 1998*

Notice of Violation, *April 29, 1998*

Cleanup and Abatement Order No. 98-717, Gil-TeX Dairy, Stanislaus County,
May 7, 1998

Appendix E | Vaz Dairy Groundwater Monitoring Data and Correspondence

Water Monitoring Report for the Vaz Dairy Revocation/Modifications No. 9906 of
Cup #3346, *May 2, 2000*

Revised Water Monitoring Report for the Vaz Dairy Revocation/Modification
No.9906 of Cup #3346, *August 18, 2000*

Water Sampling Results from Monitoring Wells, *February 19, 2001*

Regulatory Status Update for the Vaz Dairy, *March 25, 2001*

Table of Contents

Status Report on Work Items Related to Compliance with Merced County Conditional Use Permit No. 3346 (Vaz Dairy), <i>September 7, 2001</i>	
Regulatory Status Update and Waste Holding Pond Assessment for the Vaz Dairy, Los Banos, Merced County, <i>September 14, 2001</i>	
Status Report on Work Items Related to Compliance with Merced County Conditional Use Permit No. 3346 (Vaz Dairy), <i>February 28, 2002</i>	
Appendix F Rick Jones Dairy Groundwater Monitoring Data and Correspondence	
Clay Content, Settling Pond Liner, Stevison, Merced County, California, <i>February 18, 1994</i>	
Monitoring Well Installation and 1994 First Quarter Sampling, <i>March 8, 1994</i>	
Soil Sampling and Analysis Results, <i>August 13, 1998</i>	
Dairy Facility on Van Cliff Road, Stevison, Merced County, <i>September 28, 1999</i>	
Summary Report-Field Meeting with RWQCB and Merced County Regarding Dairy Facility and New Lagoon Pond, Stevison, California, <i>October 19, 1999</i>	
Dairy Lagoon Soil Liner Verification and Maintenance Plan, Rick Jones Dairy, <i>October 19, 1999</i>	
Biannual Groundwater Monitoring Results, <i>February 7, 2003</i>	